**MINUTES OF GREAT ABINGTON PARISH COUNCIL PLANNING COMMITTEE**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Meeting held at Institute in main hall Date  | 12th July 2021 |
|  |  |
|  Time meeting started:  | 7.34pm |

Councillors present at the meeting:

|  |
| --- |
| Tony Orgee, Jill Carter, Stephen McDonnell, the Clerk and two members of the public. |

Interest declared:

|  |
| --- |
| Stephen McDonnell had an interest in the Strawberry farm application, therefore this application would be discussed at a later date as the meeting would not be quorate with two Councillors considering the application. The application was Ref:21/02609/S73 - Land Rear Of Strawberry Farm 3 Pampisford Road - Variation of condition 1 (approved plans) of planning permission S/3387/19/RM (Approval of matters reserved for appearance landscaping layout & scale following outline planning permission S/1433/16/OL for residential development comprising 8 dwellings including affordable housing provision landscaping and associated infrastructure) to amend the design of plot 3 and alter the boundary layouts of plots 2, 3 and 4. |

Details of the application:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Ref: 21/02437/FUL | 62 North Road – Erection of a detached dwelling with garage on site of former piggery building.. |

Comments of applicant or member of the public before councillors discussed the application:

|  |
| --- |
| A member of the public asked what subservient meant, because the proposed new house looked as if it would be larger than the original house. This was explained to mean the new dwelling should be lower in height and have a smaller floor area, and be no more than 174m2. The proposed house would be 6.72 m. The piggery seems to have been demolished without planning.  |

PLANNING COMMITTEE’S RECOMMENDATIONS (Please tick decision):

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| ~~Supports~~  | ~~Objects~~  | **Make no** **recommendation** |

Comments supporting the recommendation. List of material considerations at back of book.

|  |
| --- |
| The original dwelling at 62 North Road is an unmodernised property so the new dwelling may not be interpreted as being subservient to the main dwelling in relation to the floor area and the height of the proposed dwelling.Ask SCDC if planning permission was sought to demolish the piggery.  |

The Parish Council ~~does~~ **/ does not** request that the application be referred to the District Council Planning Committee.

**Second application**

Details of the application:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  Ref:21/02442/PIP | – Permission in principle- Stables To The West Of Cutting House Cutting Road - Conversion and extension of existing stables to a single storey dwellinghouse |

Comments of applicant or member of the public before councillors discussed the application:

|  |
| --- |
| None |

PLANNING COMMITTEE’S RECOMMENDATIONS (Please tick decision):

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| ~~Supports~~  | **Objects**   | ~~Makes no~~ ~~recommendation~~ |

Comments supporting the recommendation.

|  |
| --- |
| The site at Cutting House already has an additional dwelling in the converted stables, therefore there are now two dwelling at the location which is the maximum permitted under the Neighbourhood Plan. An additional dwelling is against the Neighbourhood Plan.  |

The Parish Council **does /** ~~does not~~ request that the application be referred to the District Council Planning Committee. If it does who will go to speak on behalf of the Council?

**Third application**

Details of the application:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Ref:21/02569/S73 |  Land Adjacent 59 North Road - Section 73 - Remove/Vary Condition - Variation of condition 2 (approved plans) of planning permission S/4249/19/FL (Demolition of existing piggery relocation of stable & erection of 1.5-storey four bedroom house with attached double garage) |

Comments of applicant or member of the public before councillors discussed the application:

|  |
| --- |
| None |

PLANNING COMMITTEE’S RECOMMENDATIONS (Please tick decision):

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| ~~Supports~~  | **Objects**   | ~~Makes no~~ ~~recommendation~~ |

Comments supporting the recommendation.

|  |
| --- |
| The recently installed 1.8m tall close boarded fencing around the property reduces the visibility splay at the junction between Cutting Road and North Road which is an access road onto this estate and therefore the fence is too high at this junction location. The Neighbourhood Plan requires/refers to open vistas between houses, which the fencing prevents.The original application had been for a post and rail fence.  |

The Parish Council **does /** ~~does not~~ request that the application be referred to the District Council Planning Committee. If it does who will go to speak on behalf of the Council?

|  |
| --- |
| The Chairman or vice Chairman. |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Time meeting ended:  | 8.11pm | Signed : |