**MINUTES OF GREAT ABINGTON PARISH COUNCIL PLANNING COMMITTEE**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Held remotely using ‘zoom uk’ Date of  | 22nd June 2021 |
|  |  |
|  Time meeting started:  | 7.35pm |

5

Councillors present at the meeting:

|  |
| --- |
| Tony Orgee, Jane Bowen, Jill Carter and the Clerk. |

Members of the public present at the meeting:

|  |
| --- |
| NoneCouncillors had no interests to declare. |

Details of the application:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Ref 21/02492/PRI16A | Land South of the Roundabout Pampisford Road – Installation of a 20.0 m Phase 8 Monopole C/W wrapround Cabinet at base and associated ancillary works. |

Comments of applicant or member of the public before councillors discussed the application:

|  |
| --- |
| None |

PLANNING COMMITTEE’S RECOMMENDATIONS (Please tick decision):

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| ~~Supports~~  | **Objects**  | ~~Makes no~~ ~~recommendation~~ |

Comments supporting the recommendation. List of material considerations at back of book.

|  |
| --- |
| This would be a large imposing structure that would have a major impact on the landscape and possibly on the health of local residents. The Council noted that the close location of the Granta Park nursery school to the site, had been omitted from the application. On the application only 5 businesses/bodies seem to have been consulted, when the impact of such a visible structure and the unknown health affects, the Council felt that neighbouring households (North Road), the local kennels, Pampisford Hall and Little Abington and Pampisford Parish Councils should also have been consulted. The Parish Council felt that this limited consultation was a concern with such a significant structure and suggested that an air balloon be flow at the proposed location and height of the pole to allow location residents and business to understand the visual impact the structure would have on the area. |

The Parish Council **does /** ~~does not~~ request that the application be referred to the District Council Planning Committee.

**Second application**

Details of the application:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Ref 21/02242/HFUL   | 22 South Road – Erection of a double garage and cycle store and two storey extension to existing dwelling together with associated external works |

Comments of applicant or member of the public before councillors discussed the application:

|  |
| --- |
| None |

PLANNING COMMITTEE’S RECOMMENDATIONS (Please tick decision):

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| ~~Supports~~  | **Objects**   | ~~Makes no~~ ~~recommendation~~ |

Comments supporting the recommendation.

|  |
| --- |
| There was no indication of the overall area of the dwelling after the proposed extension, therefore the Council was unable to establish whether the footage compiled with the requirement of the Neighbourhood Plan of being less than 395m2. The proposed garage was in front of the building line of the house which does not comply with the Neighbourhood Plan. There were no dimensions/height given for the garage and no internal plans. Without these details there was a concern that there may be living accommodation above the garage.There were no details about the building materials therefore an informed decision was not possible. As highlighted in previous applications for this address, the local fire hydrant had been fenced inside the property boundary and the close board fencing was higher than permitted. The Council was concerned that there were documents missing which made it difficult to make an informed decision. |

The Parish Council **does /** ~~does not~~ request that the application be referred to the District Council Planning Committee. If it does who will go to speak on behalf of the Council?

|  |
| --- |
| The Chairman or vice Chairman. |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Time meeting ended:  | 7.55pm | Signed : |